

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

DUNG VIET DINH, and BINH T. CHAU,

Defendants.

Case No. CR05-152L

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
DISMISS FOR LACK OF VENUE

This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant Dinh’s Motion to Dismiss Counts 2 Through 44 for Lack of Venue” (Dkt. # 94). Defendant Binh T. Chau subsequently joined the motion. (Dkt. # 102). Under the venue statute, an offense may be prosecuted “where it was begun, continued, or completed.” 18 U.S.C. § 3237(a). The government alleges that the information was stolen from Starbucks headquarter in Washington and that fiduciary losses accrued to Washington-based banks and individuals. See Complaint (Dkt. #1). Venue in the Western District of Washington is proper. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant’s motion to dismiss is DENIED.

DATED this 26th day of October, 2005.



Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
DISMISS FOR LACK OF VENUE